Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,013	OOMORI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	THOMAS A. MORRISON	3653
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allo	wed_
(1) <u>THOMAS A. MORRISON</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Manabu Kanesaka (Reg. No. 31,467).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 May 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 5 and 14		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A _i	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/786,013

Contacted Mr. Kanesaka (applicant's representative) to get permission to further clarify one recitation in claim 5 of applicant's 5/1/2008 amendment, as per the attached examiner's amendment. Also, the examiner requested permission to correct one recitation in claim 14 of applicant's 5/1/2008 amendment to provide proper antecedent basis, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Kanesaka approved such changes to the claims.